



## Comparison of tree coring and soil gas sampling for screening of contaminated sites

Nielsen, Mette Algreen; Stalder, Marcel; Riis, Charlotte; Petersen, Jan; Kalisz, Mariusz; Krupanek, Janusz; Trapp, Stefan; Broholm, Mette Martina

*Publication date:*  
2014

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Nielsen, M. A., Stalder, M., Riis, C., Petersen, J., Kalisz, M., Krupanek, J., Trapp, S., & Broholm, M. M. (2014). *Comparison of tree coring and soil gas sampling for screening of contaminated sites*. Abstract from 11th International conference of Phytotechnologies, Heraklion, Greece.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# COMPARISON OF TREE CORING AND SOIL GAS SAMPLING FOR SCREENING OF CONTAMINATED SITES

**Mette Algreen<sup>1</sup>, Marcel Stalder<sup>2</sup>, Charlotte E. Riis<sup>3</sup>, Jan Petersen<sup>4</sup>, Mariusz Kalisz<sup>5</sup>, Janusz Krupanek<sup>5</sup>, Stefan Trapp<sup>1</sup>, Mette Broholm<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>SolGeo AG, Solothurn, Schweiz; <sup>3</sup>Niras, Allerød, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Region of Southern Denmark, Vejle, Denmark; <sup>5</sup>Institute for Ecology of Industrial Areas, Katowice, Poland.

*Corresponding author email: mann@env.dtu.dk*

## ABSTRACT

Site characterization is often time consuming and a financial burden for the site owners, which raises a demand for rapid and inexpensive (pre)screening methods.

Phytoscreening by tree coring has shown to be a useful tool to detect subsurface contamination, especially of chlorinated solvents. However the application and dissemination of the method is still limited. On the other hand, soil gas sampling for mapping of volatile organic compounds in the subsurface is a common and commercially applied method. Both methods are semi-quantitative, low-invasive and inexpensive, which makes them suitable as initial screening methods for site characterization.

The aim of this study is to compare tree coring and soil gas sampling to evaluate to which extent tree coring may supplement or substitute soil gas sampling as a site contaminant screening tool. And where both methods are feasible, evaluate when (with respect to compounds, soil properties, and locations) one method is preferred over the other.

Fields sampling was performed at European sites contaminated with fuel components or chlorinated solvents from former site activities (industrial production, gas stations, air base or gas plant) in fall 2012 and 2013. Samples from different tree species such as willow, asp, oak, birch and pine were collected and analyzed by headspace GC-MS. The soil gas measurements were conducted by consulting engineering firms as part of the site characterization prior to the tree core sampling events. Results obtained both by tree coring and soil gas sampling are compared and held up against quantitative results obtained by groundwater- and/or soil sample analysis.

Significant correlation between the methods is not always the case. However, both methods can detect contamination in the shallow subsurface and then identify high risk areas. The uptake of BTEX into trees varies to a greater extent with the tree species and the site conditions than chlorinated solvents, which lead to greater uncertainty.

Both methods have their advantages and disadvantages. Hence, the methods supplement each other.

Based on results from these initial screening methods, other more advanced/quantitative and cost-intensive methods can be focused, with the overall goal to make site characterization more complete and/or efficient.

*Acknowledgements:* The financial support by FP-7 project TIMBRE contract No. 265364 is greatly appreciated.

---

Abstract submitted for ORAL (X) or POSTER ( ) presentation

